

Integrated Management System Assessment Guide

Already certified to ISO 9001 and adding ISO 14001 (or 45001)? What carries over, what's genuinely new, and how to decide between one system or two.

The Question Every Multi-Standard Client Asks

"Do we combine them into one system, or keep them separate?" Either approach is acceptable from a certification standpoint. The right answer depends on your team structure, your resources, and how much duplication you're willing to maintain. The first thing to understand: if you're certified to ISO 9001, you've already built more of your next management system than you probably realize. The standards share a harmonized structure, and the common reaction when we map it out is "so we're not starting from zero?" Not even close.

What Carries Over From Your QMS

AREA	HOW IT TRANSFERS
Context of the organization	Interested parties and scope framework already exist - expand to include environmental stakeholders: regulators, community groups, customers with environmental requirements.
Leadership & commitment	Management commitment structure and roles carry over. You'll need an environmental policy alongside the quality policy, but the approval, communication, and review process is the same.
Support	Competency, awareness, communication, documented information. Your training framework extends to environmental awareness; your document control process handles environmental documents. No separate systems needed.
Internal audit	Add environmental scope to the existing audit schedule and train your auditors on the new standard. The audit process itself doesn't change.
Management review	Same meeting, expanded agenda: environmental performance, objective status, and compliance evaluation join the existing inputs.

Corrective action	Identical workflow whether the nonconformity is quality-related or environmental - same root cause and corrective action cycle, same improvement log.
-------------------	---

What ISO 14001 Adds That Your QMS Doesn't Have

NEW ELEMENT	WHAT IT REQUIRES
Environmental aspects & impacts	The heart of ISO 14001, with no ISO 9001 equivalent: a methodology to identify aspects, assess impacts, and determine significance - its own procedure, assessment tool, and ongoing review.
Compliance obligations register	Legal, regulatory, and other environmental requirements - federal, state, local; permits, reporting, waste disposal rules.
Environmental objectives	Like quality objectives but aligned to your significant aspects and policy commitments: waste reduction, emissions, resource efficiency.
Evaluation of compliance	A periodic process to verify you're actually meeting your compliance obligations - your QMS has no such requirement.
Emergency preparedness & response	Environmental emergencies specifically: spill response, release containment, and testing of procedures - beyond safety-oriented emergency plans.

These get built regardless of integration approach. The question is where they live.

Three Real Approaches

APPROACH	HOW IT WORKS AND WHEN IT FITS
1. Full integration	One integrated policy statement, one integrated management system manual, and every overlapping procedure - document control, management review, internal audit, corrective action - addressing both standards in a single document. The standard-specific elements become additional sections in the same structure. Best when the same person or small team manages both; for a small organization, maintaining two systems is unnecessary overhead.
2. Hybrid - separate documents, shared processes	Separate policies and manuals, but one management review covering both standards, one internal audit program, one corrective action system, one document control process. Clear organizational separation of accountability without duplicated oversight. A pragmatic choice for growing companies that expect dedicated EHS staffing later - you can split things then, without redundant meetings now. (The moment of clarity is usually one question: "Do we want a separate management review meeting for each standard?" Nobody does.)

3. Standalone systems	The EMS stands on its own - its own manual, audit schedule, objectives, and review cadence, sometimes even a different certification body - while still borrowing shared infrastructure where practical. Fits when quality and environmental are genuinely separate teams with different leadership and timelines, or when you don't want changes in one system to ripple into the other. The tradeoff is more administrative overhead.
-----------------------	---

Self-Assessment: Which Approach Fits?

Check each statement that's true for your organization:

STATEMENT	TRUE?
The same person or small team will manage both quality and environmental.	☐ A
We want to minimize meeting overhead - one management review, one audit schedule.	☐ A
We're a small to mid-size operation where duplication feels wasteful.	☐ A
Reduced audit days from an integrated certification audit would matter to our budget.	☐ A
Different teams own quality versus environmental.	☐ B
Our quality system is mature and we don't want to disrupt it significantly.	☐ B
We expect dedicated EHS staffing in the near future.	☐ B
The organizational cultures around quality and environmental are genuinely different.	☐ B

Mostly A: integrate fully. Mostly B: hybrid or standalone. Mixed: the hybrid approach almost always works. Whatever you choose, share these four processes across standards - management review, internal audit, document control, and corrective action. Running duplicate versions of what is fundamentally the same process is almost never justified.

THE MANUAL QUESTION

Structure the manual around your operations, not the standard's clause numbers - context, operational management, environmental management, supporting processes - then map sections back to both standards with a cross-reference matrix. When ISO revises the clause structure (ISO 14001:2026 just did), your manual doesn't need a rebuild.

WHAT THE CERTIFICATION BODY SEES

Integrated systems audit efficiently: shared processes get reviewed once, not twice, while aspects and compliance obligations get dedicated attention. That can mean fewer total audit days than two separate systems on two schedules - a meaningful cost consideration for a small organization.

Planning your ISO certification?

Whether you're responding to a customer requirement, a corporate mandate, or a contract opportunity that won't wait - Kaizen simplifies, streamlines, and expedites the path to certification. 200+ certifications, zero failed audits. Get a free consultation and a straight answer on what your system needs.

[Book Your Free Consultation](#)

kaizenisoconsulting.com

About Kaizen ISO Consulting. Kaizen has guided organizations through ISO 9001, ISO 14001, and ISO 45001 certification since 2017 - including integrated systems across all three. If you're weighing the integration decision, the first step is mapping what you already have against the new standard's requirements; the free consultation is built for exactly that.

200+ certification projects

Zero failed audits

100% first-try pass rate

3-6 month typical timeline

Consulting since 2017

This resource is provided by Kaizen ISO Consulting for general informational purposes only. It summarizes and paraphrases the intent of ISO requirements in the author's own words; it does not reproduce the text of any ISO standard and is not a substitute for the standard itself. Obtain the official standard from ISO ([iso.org](https://www.iso.org)) or your national standards body. ISO® is a registered trademark of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO); Kaizen ISO Consulting is independent of, and not affiliated with or endorsed by, ISO. This document is not certification, legal, or regulatory advice - requirements as applied to your organization depend on your scope, operations, and certification body. © 2026 Kaizen ISO Consulting. You may share this document in unmodified form; commercial redistribution is not permitted.